

## Interview Summary

Application No. 10/020,450

Applicant(s)

Miller et al.

W Summary Examiner

Phyllis G. Spivack

Art Unit 1614

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack	(3)
(2) Carol A. Stratford	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 16, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🖾 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1-62	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments:  Ms. Stratford questioned the finality of the last Office Action Applicants on December 9, 2002.	I. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or ion. The finality was based on the new art of record filed by
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separauluness the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM. INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Mealready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is trate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  IAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE red of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Phyllis Spwack  Examiner's signature, if required